Scalable Online Services and Data Processing Architectures

Milind Mahajan



Overview

- Online Services
 - \circ Hosting
 - Architecture
 - \circ Storage
 - \circ Toolset
- Data Processing
 - Batch
 - Streaming
 - Online Machine Learning

Online Services

- Hosting

- Public Cloud Providers
 - On-demand scalability
 - Pay as you go
 - Reliable and well tested platform
 - No operational overhead
 - Leading providers: Amazon AWS, Microsoft Azure, Google Cloud
- PaaS and laaS
 - Ease of use with some limitations vs.
 Full flexibility but more work
 - Cost difference
 - Ability to switch vendors

Service Architecture Choices

- Monoliths and Microservices
 - Monolith -- single service which does everything
 - Many services -- each focused on single logical responsibility
 - Appropriate choice depends on stage
- Monoliths -- at small scale
 - Easy to understand, deploy
 - Less up-front design/architecture work
 - Infrastructure efficiency is less of an issue at small scale
 - One team
 - Local calls between components
 - Later -- everything changes with size

Microservices

- Benefits
 - Force clear interfaces and contracts
 - Allow teams to operate independently -- large orgs
 - Each service remains easy to understand
 - Allow mix of technologies
 - Decouple deployments
 - Scale each service independently
 - Improve robustness through isolation
 - Upfront design, documentation, backward compatibility
 - RPC/REST calls between services
 - Communicate only through interfaces
 - Deployment co-ordination, scale decisions -- devops

Different types of storage

- Instance based storage
- Persistent disk storage
- Object store: large blob data, cheap, standalone
- Key-value store
 - Primary key for sharding
 - Secondary key for range queries
 - High scalability, low latency
 - Limit on size of value
- Relational databases
 - Provide transactions
 - Ease of use -- powerful programming model
 - Scalability cost
- Caching -- can be used to reduces storage access and latency

Other toolset

- Deployment
- Dashboards and visualization
- Monitoring & alerting
- Log search
- Payment gateways

Data Processing

Hadoop

- Map-Reduce programming model
- HDFS Storage
- High scale -- large data and compute
- Fault tolerance -- deals with failures
- Well-understood
- Available as hosted service from cloud providers
- Higher level platforms: Hive, Pig, Scalding
- Data is written back to HDFS between map-reduce steps
- High latency

- Spark

- Fast and general cluster based data processing
- Avoids disk I/O -- keeps data in memory where possible
- Efficient data sharing
 - Much faster for iterative algorithms
 - Enables functionality such as streaming and interactive queries
- Resilient Distributed Dataset (RDD)
 - Immutable distributed collection of objects
 - Transformations form a DAG
 - Lazily evaluated after action
 - Possible to persist RDD in memory for reuse
- Fault tolerance
 - Track lineage and recompute if needed
- Powerful components: Spark SQL, MLLib, GraphX, Spark Streaming

Spark Streaming

- Break input data streams into micro-batches
- Each micro-batch is RDD
- Process each micro-batch to produce stream of results
- Abstraction is Discretized stream (DStream) of RDDs
- Stateless and stateful transforms on DStreams
- State captures information across micro-batches
- Fault-tolerance
 - Input data is replicated
 - State is checkpointed to avoid long recompute chains
 - Trade-off between checkpoint overhead and recovery overhead

Real-time streams

- Hosted real-time streams
- Publisher pushes data: user interaction data or service generated
- Multiple consumers, low latency
- Build topologies by chaining streams
- Open source: Kafka

Lambda Architecture

• Combines the advantages of batch and stream processing



- Batch layer: high latency, high throughput, consistent
- Speed layer: low latency, (relatively) low throughput, may not be consistent
- Backfill is easier on code changes
- Results must be additive
- Data is immutable, append only

Online Machine Learning

- Online prediction: features are available only at the time of the request
- Build prediction service
- Need to ensure that features used for training and prediction match
 - Log features passed to prediction service
 - Build common libraries and configs which are used for both online and offline feature extraction
- Features need to be simple to compute in streaming
- Online training
 - Stream processing
 - Lambda architecture for adapting a batch trained model

Questions Ä